



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,450	01/02/2002	Hideyuki Nasu	214869US8	6573
22850	7590 09/25/2003		•	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			VY, HUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					X	
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
e		10/032,45	0	NASU ET AL.		
,5	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
<b>.</b> .		Hung T Vy		2828		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover she t with	th correspondence ad	dress	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu I will apply and wil te, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply tory minimum of thirty (3 l expiré SIX (6) MONTHS cation to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timels from the mailing date of this coponed (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	July 2003 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is	non-final.			
3)□ Dispositi	<u></u>					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from cor	sideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			Paul &	<b>,</b>	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		SUF	Paul ip Pervisory patent exa	MINED	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers	or election re	quirement.	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	2800	
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examino	er.				
10)🖂 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2002</u> is/are	e: a)∏ accept	ed or b)⊠ objecte	d to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s)	be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ ap	proved b)∐ disa	pproved by the Examin	er.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			nmary (PTO-413) Paper Normal Patent Application (PTo		

Art Unit: 2828

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-51 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-51 of copending Application No. 10,114,052 or claim 1 of copending Application No. 10,163,529. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the subject matter contained in application is claimed in claims 1-51 of copending Application No. 10,114,052 or claim 1 of copending application No. 10,163,529 as first temperature sensing unit, an optical filter, a wavelength monitor device, a wavelength regulating unit and second temperature-sensing unit disposed adjacent to said optical filter. Match the claims below:

10/032450	10/114052	10/163529
1	1	1
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	

Application/Control Number: 10/032,450

Art Unit: 2828

7	7	
8	8	·
9	9	<del></del>
10	10	<del> </del>
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	4
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	
27	27	
28	28	
29	29	
30	30	
31	31	
32	32	
33	33	
34	34	
35	35	
36	36	
37	37	
38	38	
39	39	
40	40	
41	41	
42	42	
43	43	
44	44	
45	45	
46	46	
47	47	
48	48	-
49	49	
50	50	

Application/Control Number: 10/032,450 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

51 51

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Conclusion

- 3. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vy whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application/Control Number: 10/032,450

Art Unit: 2828

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828 September 10, 2003 Page 5